UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/809,559	03/24/2004	Vincent K. Jones	021245-001310US	8004	
20350 7590 08/02/2007 TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER			EXAMINER		
			ETTEHADIEH, ASLAN		
EIGHTH FLOO	TH FLOOR FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834				
SANTIONNOIC	500, 0717111 5051		2611		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/809,559	JONES ET AL.
Examiner	. Art Unit
Aslan Ettehadieh	2611

	/ total : Ettoridator	120	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ess
THE REPLY FILED <u>30 July 2007</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPL	ICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in the with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply n	ffidavit, or other evidence compliance with 37 CFF	e, which R 41.31; or (3)
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the maili (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejection	٦.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amoun shortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropriat ginally set in the final Office	te extension fee action; or (2) as
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f, will not be entered bed	cause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	w);	•	
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially r	educing or simplifying th	e issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. (See 37 CFR 1.1	16 and 41.33(a)).		
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment (P	TOL-324).
Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:		
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	lowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
7. Tor purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		vill be entered and an ex	planation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	it before or on the date of filing a fid a sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> avit or other evidence is	be entered necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appoy y and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attache	ed.
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
13. Other:		Puem	
	Ð	avid C. PAYNE	
	SUPERVISO	ORY PATENT EXAMIN	ER

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Claims 18 - 23 and 25 - 32 require further search and/or consideration. In response to applicant's argument (claims 14 - 17) that the references fail to show certain features of applicant's invention, it is noted that the features upon which applicant relies (i.e., communication in one direction uses a protocol and communication in the other direction uses a dffierent protocol (paragraph [0008])) are not recited in the rejected claim(s). Although the claims are interpreted in light of the specification, limitations from the specification are not read into the claims. See In re Van Geuns, 988 F.2d 1181, 26 USPQ2d 1057 (Fed. Cir. 1993)...